This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 8882 NC17525 09/827,796 04/06/2001 Marta Karczewicz EXAMINER 26343 08/16/2004 WARE, CICELY Q STEVEN A. SHAW NOKIA, INC. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 6000 CONNECTION DRIVE MD 1-4-755 2634 IRVING, TX 75039

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/827,796	KARCZEWICZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cicely Ware	2634
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	I	
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	pril 2001.	
·	action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Perferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.) . 5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)
, apor reo(s) main bate 1.	-,	

Application/Control Number: 09/827,796

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4 are drawn to a decoder for decoding encoded data wherein identical frames may be obtained even when they are predicted using different reference frames, classified in class 348, subclass 407-426.
 - II. Claim 5 is drawn to a method for switching between a plurality of bitstreams in a data communication system, placing a first picture, transmitting a second picture, classified in 375, subclass 240.
 - III. Claim 6-7 and 9 are drawn to a method of enabling access in a data stream, using a plurality of SP-pictures, an I-picture and multiple bitstreams, classified in class 348, subclass 407.
 - IV. Claim 8 is drawn to a method of providing Video Redundancy Coding (VRC) classified in class 375, subclass 240 and class 382, subclass 232-247.
- 2. The claim groups I-IV involve totally different concepts, which would involve a search of at least two different classifications.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I, II, III, IV is not required for either group in respect to the other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,796

Art Unit: 2634

Specification

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Pg. 2, line 15, applicant uses the phrase "every pixel of a image". Examiner suggests using "every pixel of an image" for clarification purposes.
- b. Pg. 2, line 28, applicant uses the phrase "information that is need to". Examiner suggests using "information that is needed to" for clarification purposes.
- c. Pg. 6, line 6, applicant uses "bitrate". Examiner suggests using "bit rate" for clarification purposes. Applicant makes reference to this word throughout the disclosure. Examiner suggests applicant correct all instances.
 - d. Examiner suggests applicant move Pg. 7 to continue on Pg. 6.
- e. Pg. 7, line 1, applicant uses the phrase "method of using same". Examiner suggests using "method of using the same" for clarification purposes.
- f. Examiner suggests applicant move Pg. 8 to continue of Pg. 7.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,796

Art Unit: 2634

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cicely Ware whose telephone number is 703-305-8326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cicely Ware

cqw August 4, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMI

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800